Case: 5:20-cv-01682-SL Doc #: 1 Filed: 07/30/20 1 of 10. PageID #: 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO AKRON DIVSION

Saran Angeles,
a/k/a Sarah Faber,
individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Civil Action No: _____

Plaintiff,

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

-v.-Conrad Credit Corporation, and John Does 1-25.

Defendants.

Plaintiff Sarah Angeles, a/k/a Sarah Faber (hereinafter, "Plaintiff"), an Ohio resident, brings this Class Action Complaint by and through her attorneys, Zukowsky Law LLC, against Defendant Corrad Credit Corporation (hereinafter "Defendant CCC"), individually and on behalf of a class of all others similarly situated, pursuant to Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, based upon information and belief of Plaintiff's counsel, except for allegations specifically pertaining to Plaintiff, which are based upon Plaintiff's personal knowledge.

INTRODUCTION/PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

1. Congress enacted the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act (hereinafter "the FDCPA") in 1977 in response to the "abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors." 15 U.S.C. §1692(a). At that time, Congress was concerned that "abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal

bankruptcies, to material instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy."

Id. Congress concluded that "existing laws...[we]re inadequate to protect consumers," and that

"'the effective collection of debts" does not require "misrepresentation or other abusive debt

collection practices." 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692(b) & (c).

2. Congress explained that the purpose of the Act was not only to eliminate abusive debt collection practices, but also to "insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged." Id. § 1692(e). After determining that the existing consumer protection laws · were inadequate, Id § 1692(b), Congress gave consumers a private cause of action against debt collectors who fail to comply with the Act. Id. § 1692k.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 3. The Court has jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et. seq. The Court has pendent jurisdiction over the State law claims in this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).
- 4. Venue is proper in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) as this is where the Plaintiff resides as well as where a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 5. Plaintiff brings this class action on behalf of a class of Ohio consumers under § 1692 et seq. of Title 15 of the United States Code, commonly referred to as the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act ("FDCPA"), and
 - 6. Plaintiff is seeking damages and declaratory relief.

PARTIES

- 7. Plaintiff is a resident of the State of Ohio, County of Stark, residing at 4030 Greenford Ave SW, Massillon, OH 44646.
- 8. Defendant CCC is a "debt collector" as the phrase is defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a)(6) and used in the FDCPA with an address at 476 W Vermont Ave, Escondido CA 92025.
- 9. Upon information and belief, Defendant CCC is a company that uses the mail, telephone, and facsimile and regularly engages in business the principal purpose of which is to attempt to collect debts alleged to be due another.
- 10. John Does 1-25, are fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of Defendants whose identities will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 11. Plaintiff brings this claim on behalf of the following case, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) and 23(b)(3).
 - 12. The Class consists of:
 - a. all individuals with addresses in the State of Ohio;
 - to whom Defendant CCC sent an initial collection letter attempting to collect a consumer debt;
 - c. that states that the amount due may increase due to "other charges" without any explanation and when there are no "other charges;"
 - d. which letter was sent on or after a date one (1) year prior to the filing of this action and on or before a date twenty-one (2l) days after the filing of this action.

- 13. The identities of all class members are readily ascertainable from the records of Defendants and those companies and entities on whose behalf they attempt to collect and/or have purchased debts.
- 14. Excluded from the Plaintiff Class are the Defendants and all officer, members, partners, managers, directors and employees of the Defendants and their respective immediate families, and legal counsel for all parties to this action, and all members of their immediate families.
- 15. There are questions of law and fact common to the Plaintiff Class, which common issues predominate over any issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A, violate 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692e, 1692f.
- 16. The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the class members, as all are based upon the same facts and legal theories. The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Plaintiff Class defined in this complaint. The Plaintiff has retained counsel with experience in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions, and neither the Plaintiff nor her attorneys have any interests, which might cause them not to vigorously pursue this action.
- 17. This action has been brought, and may properly be maintained, as a class action pursuant to the provisions of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure because there is a well-defined community interest in the litigation:
 - a. <u>Numerosity:</u> The Plaintiff is informed and believes, and on that basis alleges, that the Plaintiff Class defined above is so numerous that joinder of all members would be impractical.

- b. <u>Common Questions Predominate:</u> Common questions of law and fact exist as to all members of the Plaintiff Class and those questions predominance over any questions or issues involving only individual class members. The principal issue is whether the Defendants' written communications to consumers, in the forms attached as Exhibit A violate 15 § 1692e, 1692f and §1692g.
- c. <u>Typicality:</u> The Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the class members.
 The Plaintiff and all members of the Plaintiff Class have claims arising out of the Defendants' common uniform course of conduct complained of herein.
- d. Adequacy: The Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the class members insofar as Plaintiff has no interests that are adverse to the absent class members. The Plaintiff is committed to vigorously litigating this matter. Plaintiff has also retained counsel experienced in handling consumer lawsuits, complex legal issues, and class actions. Neither the Plaintiff nor her counsel have any interests which might cause them not to vigorously pursue the instant class action lawsuit.
- e. <u>Superiority:</u> A class action is superior to the other available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy because individual joinder of all members would be impracticable. Class action treatment will permit a large number of similarly situated persons to prosecute their common claims in a single forum efficiently and without unnecessary duplication of effort and expense that individual actions would engender.
- 18. Certification of a class under Rule 23(b)(3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is also appropriate in that the questions of law and fact common to members of the Plaintiff

Class predominate over any questions affecting an individual member, and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

19. Depending on the outcome of further investigation and discovery, Plaintiff may, at the time of class certification motion, seek to certify a class(es) only as to particular issues pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(4).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 20. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs numbered above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 21. Some time prior to May 12, 2020, an obligation was allegedly incurred to Travel Resorts of America.
- 22. The Travel Resorts of America obligations arose out of transactions incurred by Plaintiff with Travel Resorts of America in which money, property, insurance or services, which are the subject of the transaction, were incurred for primarily for personal, family or household purposes.
- 23. The alleged Travel Resorts of America obligation is a "debt" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
 - 24. Travel Resorts of America is a "creditor"(s) as defined by 15 U.S.C.§ 1692a(4).
- 25. Travel Resorts of America or a subsequent owner of the Travel Resorts of America debt contracted with the Defendant to collect the alleged debt.
- 26. Defendant collects and attempts to collect debts incurred or alleged to have been incurred for personal, family or household purposes on behalf of creditors using the United States Postal Services, telephone and internet.

<u>Violation – May 12, 2020 Collection Letter</u>

- 27. On or about May 12, 2020, Defendant sent the Plaintiff an initial collection letter (the "Letter") regarding the alleged debt owed to Travel Resorts of America. See Letter attached as Exhibit A.
- 28. The collection letter states: "Travel Resorts of America has referred your past-due account(s) to our office for collections. As of the date of this letter, our records indicate you owe \$6,208.29. Your account balance well (sic) periodically increase due to the addition of accrued interest or other charges as provided in the agreement with the original creditor or as otherwise provided by state law."
- 29. Defendant does not explain the term "other charges" and Plaintiff has no way of determining what the "other charges" may be.
- 30. Plaintiff has no basis to determine what "other charges" could affect her balance day to day besides interest and late fees.
- 31. Defendant misleads and deceives Plaintiff into the belief that there are "other charges" which will possibly increase the daily balance when there are no other charges.
- 32. If Defendant is aware of "other charges" that would lead to an increase the balance, Defendant should clarify and explain them in the letter.
- 33. As a result of Defendant's deceptive misleading and false debt collection practices, Plaintiff has been damaged.

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692e et seq.

- 34. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 35. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692e.
- 36. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692e, a debt collector may not use any false, deceptive, or misleading representation or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 37. Defendant violated said section by:
 - a. Making a false and misleading representation in violation of §1692e(10).
- 38. By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's conduct violated Section 1692e et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages, costs and attorneys' fees.

COUNT II VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §1692f et seq.

- 39. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and incorporates the allegations contained in paragraphs above herein with the same force and effect as if the same were set forth at length herein.
- 40. Defendant's debt collection efforts attempted and/or directed towards the Plaintiff violated various provisions of the FDCPA, including but not limited to 15 U.S.C. § 1692f.
- 41. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692f, a debt collector may not use any unfair or unconscionable means in connection with the collection of any debt.
 - 42. Defendant violated this section by
 - a. unfairly stating that the balance may increase due to "other charges"

By reason thereof, Defendant is liable to Plaintiff for judgment that Defendant's

conduct violated Section 1692f et seq. of the FDCPA, actual damages, statutory damages,

costs and attorneys' fees.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

43. Pursuant to Rule 38 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby requests

a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Sarah Angeles, a/k/a Sarah Faber individually and on behalf of

all others similarly situated demands judgment from Defendant CCC as follows:

1. Declaring that this action is properly maintainable as a Class Action and certifying

Plaintiff as Class representative, and Amichai Zukowsky, Esq. as Class Counsel;

2. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class statutory damages;

3. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class actual damages;

4. Awarding Plaintiff costs of this Action, including reasonable attorneys' fees and

expenses;

5. Awarding pre-judgment interest and post-judgment interest; and

6. Awarding Plaintiff and the Class such other and further relief as this Court may deem

just and proper.

Dated: July 21, 2020

Respectfully Submitted,

Case: 5:20-cv-01682-SL Doc #: 1 Filed: 07/30/20 10 of 10. PageID #: 10

BY:/s/ Amichai Zukowsky

Amichai E. Zukowsky

Attorney for Plaintiff Amichai E. Zukowsky, Esq.

Zukowsky Law, LLC

23811 Chagrin Blvd, Suite 160 Beachwood, OH 44122

Phone: 216.800.5529

Email: ami@zukowskylaw.com